Travel Initiatives



Ron Sanders, Associate Controller Disbursements/Accounting Julie Hughes, Travel & Complex Payment Supervisor

Agenda

- Goals for Initiatives
- Travel Advances Exception Basis
- Maximization of P-card usage
- Prepayments to vendors only
- Delegated Authority
- Questions and Answers



Goals for Travel Process Initiatives

- Decrease processing time, paper, and associated costs
 - Reduce 4,000+ travel reimbursements to be pre-audited
 - Reduce at least 1,500 requests for prepayments and advances
 - Risk based approach while maintaining acceptable threshold of accuracy
- Increase transparency and department knowledge and accountability
 - Emphasize importance of departmental review
 - Training and communication efforts increased
 - Clearer understanding of travel process and requirements
 - Better customer service
 - Detailed review within department administrative units that are closer to the source of information
- All changes Effective July 1, 2016 follow current processes until July



Travel Advance Exception Basis

Travelers should expect some out of pocket expenses when traveling that will be reimbursed after submitting a travel reimbursement

- Travel Advance can be requested when this presents a difficulty
 - Travel more than 1 week
 - International travel
 - Student/Group travel
 - Financial need
- July 1 will be moved to a separate request form (not part of Travel form package)



Maximization of P-card Usage

- Travel costs that need to be paid before and during travel (e.g., registration, airfare, and hotel) <u>should</u> be made using a University Purchasing Card (p-card)
- Department required to have at least one p-card July 1
- Most cost effective tool for travel prepayments
- Department controls the purchase
- Eliminates the need for paper checks and voucher codes
- Vendor setup is not required



Maximization of P-card Usage

- Less out of pocket expense for the traveler
- Streamline processes
- Eliminate the need for prepayment
- Departments can have multiple card holders that can be managed with few reconcilers and approvers
 - Example: Advancement/Development/Alumni Affairs
 - Multiple card holders 20-30
 - Have administrative staff as reconcilers (just as departments that have admins to process travel paperwork)
 - They upload receipt to Works and complete TR for traveler
- Not more work different work



Prepayments to Vendors Only

- Effective July 1
- If a vendor does not take VISA (purchasing card) payments
 - Utilize DPR form (with support) to prepay travel expense to vendor
- Will no longer process prepayment requests that effectively reimburse the traveler for expenses prepaid by traveler's personal funds
 - Traveler can seek reimbursement for expenses paid with personal funds with the travel reimbursement form when the trip is completed



- Campus Wide Delegated Authority July 1
 - Under \$500 reimbursable to Traveler (approx. 70% of TRs)
 - Not International Travel
 - No Travel Advance issued
 - Submitted within the 60 day taxable requirement
 - New forms to be rolled out to identify these payments
- GOAL: IMPROVE THE TRAVEL PAYMENT PROCESS AT UNC CHARLOTTE
 - Reduce processing time (3 business days or less) within defined risk levels
 - Put responsibility of departmental funds in the hands of those who manage them
 - Allow the central travel office to better provide targeted, ongoing customer service, issue up-to-date guidance, and monitor compliance with travel policies



- Risk-based approach
 - Travel program at UNC Charlotte (approximately \$12 million annually)
 - Based on pilot relatively low error rates and amounts
 - Errors are small and less expensive for the University on a cost/benefit basis when considering the time spent pre-auditing.
 - More efficient and within risk tolerance levels to move to a post-audit sample review University-wide
 - Certain activities lend themselves to higher institutional reputation risk, such as international travel. These higher-risk reimbursements should continue to be reviewed by the Travel Office prior to payment being made.
 - No requirement in policy or legislation requires a 100% pre-payment audit of travel expenses
 - Confirmed with UNC-GA.
 - Planned process until an <u>automated travel system</u> is implemented



- Due diligence performed to date
 - Three pilot phases of program successfully conducted since May 2014:
 - 18 departments participating in pilot program
 - Over 700 delegated approval TR processed since 9/30/15
 - Sample have been "post-audited" by Travel
 - Minimal exceptions noted Average of \$3.51 over-reimbursed per reimbursement audited (97.5% confidence level on those unaudited)
 - Average 1 day processing time in Travel Office
 - Practices of other UNC institutions
 - UNC-Chapel Hill currently has a delegated authority program in place,
 - Larger schools (UNC-CH, NC State, ECU) have automated their travel reimbursement processes, which mitigates error risks and allows quicker, more accurate reviews prior to reimbursement.
 - The Controller's Office believes that an automated solution is what UNC Charlotte should eventually adopt.



Program has been vetted through key constituencies:

- Internal Audit
- NC Office of the State Auditor
- Grants & Contracts Administration
- Campus Business Officers Advisory Panel
- Key management positions (AVC for Finance; VC for Business Affairs; Cabinet)

Post-audit procedures will:

- Be well documented and consistently administered.
- Be based on a post-audit sample size sufficient to obtain a 95% confidence level (federal government agencies also use this standard).
- Identify errors and their associated dollar values, data that will be used to report results to departments, for training/educational purposes, and to continuously assess the effectiveness of the delegated authority program.
- Be supplemented with ongoing training and enhanced available resources (updated forms, manual, guides, and FAQs).



Questions?

